

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/002,256	11/23/2001	Masahiro Yamanaka	SIC-01-011	2486
7590 11/03/2003			EXAMINER	
DELAND LAW OFFICE			SMITH, JULIE KNECHT	
P.O. Box 69 Klamath River, CA 96050-0069			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. •		3682	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\sim$				
	Application No.	Agricant(s)				
Office Assista Commence	10/002,256	YAMANAKA, MASAHIRO				
- Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Julie K Smith	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to be the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	November 2001 .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ TI	his action is non-final.					
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>						
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11,13,16 and 22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>10,12,14,15,17-21 and 23-36</u> is/are of	☑ Claim(s) <u>10,12,14,15,17-21 and 23-36</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u></u>					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	• •				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>29 July 2003</u> is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	karriirier.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		a) (d) a= (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(a) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	to have been received					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ority documents have been receivureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ved in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Potent and Tondomed, Office						

· Application/Control Number: 10/002,256

Art Unit: 3682

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 11-13, 16 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chi (5,575,540).

Regarding claims 1-4 and 22, Chi discloses a seal assembly for a bicycle bottom bracket (see fig. 3) comprising a support (71), an outer seal (72) extending circumferentially around a radially outer surface of the support, and an inner seal (not numbered) extending circumferentially around a radially inner surface of the support.

Regarding claims 5-9, 11-13 and 16, Chi discloses a seal assembly wherein the support (71) comprises a tubular member and an annular base member wherein the inner seal is disposed at a radially inner edge of the base member. Chi further discloses an inner ledge extending from a radially inner side of the base member wherein the inner ledge comprises an inner tubular member. Matsui also discloses an outer ledge extending from a radially outer side of the base member wherein the outer ledge (711) comprises an outer tubular member.

· Application/Control Number: 10/002,256

Art Unit: 3682

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 10, 12, 14, 15, 17-21 and 23-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see amendment, filed 7/29/03, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-36 under Matsui have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Chi.

### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie K Smith whose telephone number is 703-305-3948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8-5:30, (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

JKS Jks

October 28, 2003

Page 4